

"All that is necessary
for the triumph of
evil is that good
men do nothing . . ."
— EDMUND BURKE.



THE NEW TIMES

SURVEY

THE AUSTRALIAN

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS

Vol. 22 No. 04

Registered Australia Post - Publication PP343214 / 00016

April 2021

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| Who Pressed the Great Reset Button? -- Analysis by Dr. Joseph Mercola | 1 |
| The Moving Storm By C. H. Douglas | 5 |
| Conventional Political Management as a Progressive Journey – Part Three By John Burton – continued from July 2020 | 6 |

WHO PRESSED THE GREAT RESET BUTTON? -- Analysis by Dr. Joseph Mercola

(Republished from Dr. Mercola.com: <https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2020/11/16/what-is-the-great-reset.aspx?>)

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- The globalist technocracy is using the COVID-19 pandemic to bypass democratic accountability, override opposition, accelerate their agenda and to impose it on the public against our will.
- The Great Reset refers to a global agenda to monitor and control the world through digital surveillance. You'll be tied to it through an electronic ID linked to your bank account and health records, and a social credit ID that will end up dictating every facet of your life.
- The Great Reset is about getting rid of capitalism and free enterprise, and replacing them with technocracy, publicly referred to as "sustainable development" and "stakeholder capitalism".
- There's not a single area of life that is left out of this Great Reset plan. The planned reform will affect everything from government, energy and finance to food, medicine, real estate, policing and even how we interact with our fellow human beings in general.
- Privacy protections are a major hurdle in this plan, which is why every effort is made to get people to loosen their views on the right for privacy. In the U.S., we also have the Constitution that stands in the way, which is why efforts to undermine, circumvent, ignore or nullify it are increasing.

What is this "Great Reset" we're now hearing about? In a nutshell, the Great Reset refers to a global agenda to monitor and control the world through digital surveillance.

As explained by journalist James Corbett in his October 16, 2020, Corbett Report, ¹ the Great Reset is a new "social contract" that ties every person to it through an electronic ID linked to your bank account and health records, and a social credit ID that will end up dictating every facet of your life.

It's about getting rid of capitalism and free enterprise, and replacing them with "sustainable development" and "stakeholder capitalism" — terms that belie their nefarious, anti-humanity intents. As noted in the book, "Technocracy: The Hard Road to World Order": ²

"... Sustainable Development is Technocracy ... The Sustainable Development movement has taken careful steps to conceal its true identity, strategy and purpose, but once the veil is lifted, you will never see it any other way. Once its strategy is unmasked, everything else will start to make sense."

The Grand Plan

In her blog post "The Great Reset for Dummies," Tessa Lena summarizes the purpose behind the call for a global "reset": ³

"The mathematical reason for the Great Reset is that thanks to technology, the planet has gotten small, and the infinite expansion economic model is bust — but obviously, the super wealthy want to continue staying super wealthy, and so they need a miracle, another bubble, plus a surgically precise system for managing what they perceive as 'their limited resources.'

Thus, they desperately want a bubble providing new growth out of thin air — literally — while simultaneously they seek to tighten the peasants' belts, an effort that starts with 'behavioral modification,' a.k.a. resetting the western peasants' sense of entitlement to high life standards and liberties (see awful 'privilege').

The psychological reason for the Great Reset is the fear of losing control of property, the planet. I suppose, if you own billions and move trillions, your perception of reality gets funky, and everything down below looks like an ant hill that exists for you. Just ants and numbers, your assets. Thus, the practical aim of the Great Reset is to fundamentally restructure the world's economy and geopolitical relations based on two assumptions:

One, that every element of nature and every life form is a part of the global inventory (managed by the allegedly benevolent state, which, in turn, is owned by several suddenly benevolent wealthy people, via technology).

And two, that all inventory needs to be strictly accounted for: be registered in a central database, be readable by a scanner and easily ID'ed, and be managed by AI, using the latest 'science.'

The goal is to count and then efficiently manage and control all resources, including people, on an unprecedented scale, with unprecedented digital ... precision — all while the masters keep indulging, enjoying vast patches of conserved nature, free of unnecessary sovereign peasants and their unpredictability.”

Global Asset Reallocations Will Not Benefit ‘the People’

These new global “assets” can also be turned into brand new financial instruments that can then be traded. An example of this was given by Vandana Shiva, Ph.D., in my interview with her.

In it, she explained how India is headed toward Zero-Budget Natural Farming — a brand-new concept of farming in which farmers must trade the carbon rate in their soil on the global market if they want to make a living. They’ll get no money at all for the crops they actually grow.

There’s not a single area of life that is left out of this Great Reset plan. The planned reform will affect everything from government, energy and finance to food, medicine, real estate, policing and even how we interact with our fellow human beings in general.

Privacy protections, of course, are a major hurdle in this plan, which is why every effort is made to get people to loosen their views on the right for privacy. In the U.S., we also have the Constitution that stands in the way, which is why efforts to undermine, circumvent, ignore or nullify it are increasing.

“To sum it up, the desired end result is a giant, joyless, highly controlled global conveyor of everything and everybody where privacy is tremendously expensive,

dissent is unthinkable, and spiritual submission is mandatory.

It’s like a 24/7 medicated reality, except the medications are both chemical and digital, and they are reporting you back to the mothership, which can then punish you for bad behavior by, say, blocking your access to certain places or by putting a hold on your digital bank account — perhaps without any human intervention at all,” Lena writes. ⁴

Stakeholder Capitalism

An October 5, 2020, Winter Oak article ⁵ addressed the “technocratic fascist vision” of professor Klaus Schwab, founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum who wrote the book on The Fourth Industrial Revolution. Schwab announced the World Economic Forum’s Great Reset Initiative in June 2020, which includes stripping all people of their privately owned assets.

In addition to being a staunch technocrat, Schwab also has a strong transhumanist bend, and he has spoken of a near future in which humans merge with machines and in which law enforcement will be able to read our mind. ⁶

Winter Oak — a British nonprofit social justice organization — points out that Schwab and his globalist accomplices are using the COVID-19 pandemic “to bypass democratic accountability, to override opposition, to accelerate their agenda and to impose it on the rest of humankind against our will.”

Ultimately, the Great Reset will result in two tiers or people: The technocratic elite, who have all the power and rule over all assets, and the rest of humanity, who have no power, no assets and no say-so in anything.

This is no conspiracy theory. The plan is out in the open. As noted by *Time* magazine, ⁷ “The COVID-19 pandemic has provided a unique opportunity to think about the kind of future we want.” The same statement has been delivered by a number of politicians and organizations around the world in recent months.

Schwab’s book, ^{8,9} “COVID-19: The Great Reset” also urges industry leaders and decision makers to “make good use of the pandemic” and “not letting the crisis go to waste.” Incidentally, the owner of *Time* magazine and founder of Salesforce, Mark Benioff, is also a board member of the World Economic Forum, ¹⁰ so he’s clearly familiar with the reset plan.

The problem is that while the plan is being sold as a way to, finally, make life fair and equitable for all people, the required sacrifices do not apply to the technocrats running the system. Ultimately, the Great Reset will

result in two tiers or people: the technocratic elite, who have all the power and rule over all assets, and the rest of humanity, who have no power, no assets and no say-so in anything.

While technocracy is not a political system but an economic one, in practical terms it does resemble fascism. None of it is being sold under the banner of fascism, of course. Instead, they use financial terms like “stakeholder capitalism,” described by *Forbes* magazine¹¹ as “the notion that a firm focuses on meeting the needs of all its stakeholders: customers, employees, partners, the community and society as a whole.”

In that same article, *Forbes* points out that this strategy has already been tried and failed. It failed because balancing conflicting stakeholder claims was near-impossible and only led to mass confusion and poor returns. The failure of this strategy is what led big businesses to focus on maximizing shareholder value instead.

Now, at a time when big business finds itself under attack for “single-mindedly shoveling money to its shareholders and its executives at the expense of customers, employees, the environment and society as a whole,” the answer, they say, is to return to stakeholder capitalism. But if it didn’t work before, what makes us think it will work now?

Great Reset Plan for Big Food

A November 9, 2020, article¹² in *The Defender*, a new media platform by the Children’s Health Defense, also points out the problems with the World Economic Forum’s Great Reset plan for the food industry:

“The architects of the plan claim it will reduce food scarcity, hunger and disease, and even mitigate climate change. But a closer look at the corporations and think tanks the WEF is partnering with to usher in this global transformation suggests that the real motive is tighter corporate control over the food system by means of technological solutions.”

Aside from the food industry, partners¹³ include data mining giants, telecommunications, weapons manufacturers, finance, drug companies and the biotechnology industry.

Looking at that list, it should come as no surprise that the World Economic Forum insists the future of food and public health hinges on genetically modified organisms (GMOs), laboratory-grown protein, drugs and industrial chemicals.

The EAT Forum and the Rise of Food Imperialism

To further the fake food takeover, the World Economic
New Times Survey

Forum has partnered with the EAT Forum, which will set the political agenda for global food production. The EAT Forum was cofounded by the Wellcome Trust, which in turn was established with the financial help of GlaxoSmithKline.

EAT currently collaborates with nearly 40 city governments across Africa, Europe, Asia, North and South America and Australia, and maintains close relationships with imitation meat companies such as Impossible Foods, which was co-funded by Google, Jeff Bezos and Bill Gates.¹⁴

As noted by *The Defender*, the ultimate aim is to “replace wholesome nutritious foods with genetically modified lab creations.” To this end, EAT is working with the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) to establish global dietary guidelines and sustainable development initiatives.

The “Planetary Health Diet”¹⁵ developed by EAT is a diet that is supposed to replace all others. Federic Leroy, a food science and biotechnology professor at University of Brussels told *The Defender*:¹⁶

“The diet aims to cut the meat and dairy intake of the global population by as much as 90% in some cases and replaces it with lab-made foods, cereals and oil.”

Vandana Shiva, Ph.D., has raised harsh critique against the proposed diet saying it “is not about nutrition at all. It’s about big business and it’s about a corporate takeover of the food system.”¹⁷ *The Defender* adds:¹⁸

“According to EAT’s own reports, the big adjustments the organization and its corporate partners want to make to the food system are ‘unlikely to be successful if left up to the individual,’ and the changes they wish to impose on societal eating habits and food ‘require reframing at the systemic level with hard policy interventions that include laws, fiscal measures, subsidies and penalties, trade reconfiguration and other economic and structural measures.’”

But Shiva said this is the wrong approach, because ‘all of the science’ shows that diets should be centered around regional and geographical biodiversity. She explained that ‘EAT’s uniform global diet will be produced with western technology and agricultural chemicals. Forcing this onto sovereign nations by multinational lobbying is what I refer to as food imperialism.’”

The Future of Food and Health Care

You can get a feel for where the future of food is headed by analyzing the World Economic Forum’s strategic intelligence map.¹⁹

As you can see, this top-down approach ties food

production to a wide range of sectors, including biotech, the chemical industry, artificial intelligence, the internet of things and the digital economy.

For more details on Schwab and the World Economic Forum's strategic intelligence plan, see Covert Geopolitic's article, ²⁰ "Breaking Down the Global Elite's Great Reset Master Plan."

If any of this raises your concern, you're probably not going to like what the World Health Economic Forum has in store for health care reform either. As detailed on their website: ²¹

"Our current capital intensive, hospital-centric model is unsustainable and ineffective. The Platform for Shaping the Future of Health and Healthcare leverages a data-enabled delivery system and virtual care, integrated across the continuum of care from precision prevention to personalized care delivery ..."

Aiding the World Economic Forum in this health care transformation are the biggest corporate criminals in the history of the modern world, including Bill Gates, AstraZeneca, ²² Bayer, ²³ Johnson & Johnson, ²⁴ Merck, ²⁵ Pfizer, ²⁶ Novartis ²⁷ and a host of others. ²⁸

These companies have at various times been found guilty of all sorts of crimes that they have paid tens of billions of dollars in fines for. They are also loaded with conflicts of interest in nearly every venture they are involved with. Yet we're now supposed to believe these companies are going to put aside their profit incentives and fix the whole system?

Build Back Better

As noted in a July 21, 2020, World Economic Forum article, ²⁹ the economic devastation caused by COVID-19 pandemic shutdowns "has the potential to hobble global prosperity for generations to come." The answer is to come up with stimulus measures, such as infrastructure development, that can allow countries to move forward.

But while at it, countries are urged to make sure the economic system is "built back better." Make no mistake, this catchy slogan is part and parcel of the Great Reset plan and cannot be separated from it, no matter how altruistic it may sound. As reported by Fox News: ³⁰

"A radical movement called the Great Reset embraced by some Democrats poses a grave threat to liberty and free markets in the United States and around the world ... The Great Reset is perhaps the biggest danger to capitalism and individual rights since the collapse of the Soviet Union ..."

It would destroy the current capitalist system and

replace it with progressive and modern socialist systems, with a special emphasis placed on eco-socialist policies ... Policy ideas offered by 'Great Reset' advocates include government-provided basic income programs, universal health care, massive tax increases and the Green New Deal ...

For example, at a campaign event on July 9, Biden said we need to end the 'era of shareholder capitalism,' a major part of the Great Reset proposal that would alter how companies are evaluated, elevating social justice causes and climate change concerns over property rights ...

The Build Back Better plan comes straight from the Great Reset's playbook ... As recently as July 13, the World Economic Forum promoted 'building back better' through 'green' infrastructure programs as part of the Great Reset ..."

Part of the "building back better" is to shift the financial system over to an all-digital currency system, which in turn is part of the system of social control, as it can easily be used to incentivize desired behaviors and discourage undesired ones.

An August 13, 2020, article ³¹ on the Federal Reserve website discusses the supposed benefits of a central bank digital currency (CBDC). There's general agreement among experts that most major countries will implement CBDC within the next two to four years.

Many uninformed people believe that these new CBDCs will be very similar to existing cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, but they would be mistaken. Bitcoin is decentralized and a rational strategy to opt out of the existing central bank controlled system, while these CBDCs will be centralized and completely controlled by the central banks and will have smart contracts that allow the banks to surveil and control your life.

The Great Reset PsyOps Guide

It goes without saying that to achieve the kind of radical transformation of every part of society has its challenges. No person in their right mind would agree to it if aware of the details of the whole plan. So, to roll this out, they had to use psychological manipulation, and fear is the most effective tool there is.

As explained by psychiatrist Dr. Peter Breggin, there's an entire school of public health research that focuses on identifying the most effective ways to frighten people into accepting desired public health measures.

By adding confusion and uncertainty to the mix, you can bring an individual from fear to anxiety — a state of confusion in which you can no longer think logically — and in this state, you are more easily manipulated.

The following graphic illustrates the central role of fearmongering for the successful rollout of the Great Reset.

Social Engineering Is Central to Technocratic Rule

In closing, keep in mind that technocracy is inherently a technological society run through social engineering. Fear is but one manipulation tool. The focus on “science” is another. Anytime someone dissents, they’re simply accused of being “anti-science,” and any science that conflicts with the status quo is declared “debunked science.”

The only science that matters is whatever the technocrats deem to be true, no matter how much evidence there is against it. We’ve seen this first hand during this pandemic, as Big Tech has censored and banned anything going against the opinions of the World Health Organization, which is just another cog in the technocratic machine.

If we allow this censorship to continue, the end result will be nothing short of devastating. We simply must keep pushing for transparency and truth. We must insist on medical freedom, personal liberty and the right to privacy.

One fight in particular that I don’t see us being able to evade is the fight against mandatory COVID-19 vaccinations. If we don’t take a firm stand against that and fight for the right to make our own choice, there will be no end to the medical tyranny that will follow. As noted in the Covert Geopolitics article: ³²

THE MOVING STORM By C. H. Douglas (Sept. 11, 1948)

There is higher authority than ours for the observation that though one rose from the dead, yet would they not believe.

Yet, to take only the period of history covered by the three hundred years since Cromwell, the evidence for the existence of a conscious organised, Evil Purpose in the world appears so overwhelming that it would seem axiomatic that mankind could have no prior interest than to root out its Incarnations wherever found.

Yet, so far as we can judge there is general though not universal apathy on the subject, and where there is not, the concern lacks focus.

It is probable that one factor in this situation is the identification of nations with the policies they appear to pursue. For nearly two hundred years, Germany has been the embodiment of this Evil Power, yet it is not intrinsically German. Russia appears to compete with the United States for possession of the Banners of Hell yet Russians, as individuals, like Americans, are no doubt good, bad, and indifferent.

“As you might have guessed, ‘the most important anchor of recovery’ is for a COVID-19 vaccination ... The implication is that without a vaccine the world will be unable to return to any sense of normality, particularly in terms of open interaction with your fellow man ...

You can actually participate in the global efforts to cripple the Deep State organized criminal cabal's ability for genocide, while enjoying healthcare freedom at the same time, by boycotting Big Pharma for good.”

- 1 Corbett Report October 16, 2020
- 2, 20, 32 Geopolitics August 29, 2020
- 3, 4 Tessa.substack.com October 28, 2020
- 5, 6 Winter Oak October 5, 2020
- 7 Time Magazine The Great Reset
- 8 COVID-19: The Great Reset
- 9 Steven Guinness September 3, 2020
- 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18 The Defender November 9, 2020
- 11 Forbes January 5, 2020
- 13, 28 Weforum.org Partners
- 15 Eatforum.com The Planetary Health Diet
- 19 World Economic Forum Strategic Intelligence Map, Future of Food
- 21 Weforum.org Shaping the Future and Health of Healthcare
- 22 Nordic Life Sciences October 14, 2014
- 23 Newspunch March 20, 2019
- 24 CBS News August 27, 2019
- 25 ABC.net.au August 20, 2005
- 26 Lawyers and Settlements Pfizer found guilty of Medicaid fraud
- 27 Medical Xpress July 2, 2020
- 29 World Economic Forum July 21, 2020
- 30 Fox News July 23, 2020
- 31 Federal Reserve August 13, 2020

The situation is in fact not greatly dissimilar to the group psychology explored by Gustave le Bon in such books as *Psychologie ale Peuples*, and, recognising this, we can see that a nation considered as a group, is not rational; it is a force, not an intelligence; and therefore one nation or group after another can be used and manipulated by a concentrated Supernatural, Conscious Intelligence.

The geographical shift of the Storm Centre in Europe from Spain to France, via Holland and England to Germany, and now to Russia is paralleled by the shift of certain activities, largely but not wholly Financial. This Storm Centre has, of course, its secondaries, its “Fifth Column” everywhere.

“Britain” is now apparently the target of the most venomous hatred by its manipulators, a position we have usurped from Imperial Russia; and the practical lesson to be learnt from this analysis is to direct our attention to the current Storm Centre.

It is not in Russia, except as a fulcrum for Wall Street; Russia is finished; it is in New York. ***

CONVENTIONAL POLITICAL MANAGEMENT AS A PROGRESSIVE JOURNEY

– Part Three By John Burton – from July 2020

False Liberalism and False Conservatism

As we have seen in our last article on this topic (July 2020), the twin policies of subversion and perversion both involve deviations from what Douglas referred to as ‘the Canon’. Besides the distinction between subversive deviations and deviations that embody a perversion of the social order, there is another sort of distinction, one which cuts across the subversion-perversion divide, that must also be identified and thoroughly examined if finance’s general tactics are to be properly understood.

It is undoubtedly true that every single society that has ever existed has fallen short of the state of optimum health, which would characterize a fully functional social order, to a greater or lesser extent. They have all fallen short because they have not succeeded in incarnating, in a suitable and integral manner, the full range of authentic functional necessities and only these necessities, which are the prerequisites for a fully functional or canonical social order.

In many cases, deviations have occurred because of ignorance (people were not adequately aware of what the correct set of functional necessities were). In other situations, departures from the proper path took place because certain vested interests, at odds with the objective common good, were seemingly well served by the divergence.

If we are to disregard “an acceptance of the status quo” as a non-starter, there are, in principle, two distinct ways in which one can respond to the lack of health or to the dysfunctionality which is observed in a society. These two paths are diametrically opposed to each other.

The first way of approaching the problem is to try to genuinely improve the situation by introducing the regulations or encouraging the behaviours which will ensure a comprehensive alignment of a society with the correct set of functional necessities, i.e., alignment with the Canon, and by eliminating regulations or discouraging behaviours which violate those necessities. This is the movement of all genuine social reform. It is the orientation of the Douglas Social Credit movement.

The second way of approaching the problem is to use the state of dissatisfaction which ill-health engenders as a sort of leverage whereby one attempts to convince or to force people to accept, in the name of the common good, the lifting of those restrictions (legal and otherwise) and the imposition of new regulations

(legal and otherwise) which will actually advance the agenda of various anti-social vested interests and which are therefore at odds with the demands of authentic functional necessity. The inevitable result of this sort of response is a further weakening of the society followed by a deeper descent into a state of dysfunctionality. The intensification of old problems and the manifestation of new ones are then used as a pretext for applying more of the same sort of medicine (with more of the same sort of results) instead of reversing one’s course.

According to Douglas’ diagnosis, this second approach to societal dysfunction is precisely the movement which is being imposed on civilization by the New World Order elites; it is the sort of development fostered by every association which they fund or otherwise control.

Now, instead of classifying deviations from healthy social functioning in terms of their purposes in a revolutionary plan of action, i.e., in terms of subversion or perversion, it is also possible to classify them in terms of their essential or formal structures. From this point of view, a deviation from the due social order can qualify either as a species of false liberalism or as a species of false conservatism.

This distinction is important because it is commonplace for people to divide themselves and others in terms of whether they support or decry ‘liberal’ or ‘conservative’ policies. What we have to recognize is that, very often, the kinds of liberalism and the kinds of conservatism that we are permitted to choose are all leading down the same anti-social and oligarchic path.

In this particular context, ‘liberalism’ should be taken as denoting any arch-policy which favours, through act or omission, the lifting of legal and/or cultural restrictions or regulations in a given field of human activity (i.e., allowing people to do whatever they wish to do), while ‘conservatism’ should be understood as the opposite, i.e., as describing any arch-policy which favours the imposition of legal and/or cultural restrictions or regulations (i.e., constraining people’s choices to a certain set of options). A false or unjustified liberalism would permit and/or encourage those sorts of behaviours which violate the authentic functional necessities of an association – whether they be structural or not – whereas, a false or unjustified conservatism would seek to impose the sort of restrictions or demands which go beyond the authentic structural functional necessities.

The Relationship of a False Liberalism and a False Conservatism to Subversion and Perversion

Both a false liberalism and a false conservatism can serve the general tactical policies of subverting and perverting the due social order. The liberalization of immigration laws, for example, can assist in subverting the homogeneity and social solidarity of a society, thus making it easier for that society to fall under the domination of more powerful interests. At the same time, liberalizing economic regulations can provide the same sort of vested interests with the freedom necessary to impose new forms of exploitation on the public and to further pervert the economic order. A false conservatism is equally versatile. Mandating 'value-free' sex education courses in schools, for instance, serves to intensify the subversive effects of the 'sexual revolution'. Imposing higher taxes to cover the interest payments on ever-increasing public debts, on the other hand, constitutes an intensification of a perverted political and economic order.

In spite of their equal versatility, there is, nevertheless, an asymmetrical relationship existing between a false liberalism and a false conservatism on the one hand, and social subversion and perversion on the other.

A false liberalism is primarily, or overtly, a subversive force and only secondarily, or covertly, aimed at perversion. It is the chief weapon in finance's struggle in favour of illegitimate freedom and against genuine authority. While it is, perhaps, paradoxical, establishing, maintaining, and buttressing an illegitimate authority typically require the promulgation of a false freedom amongst the masses. By disregarding some of the key functional necessities, whether structural in nature or not, which are necessary for the proper functioning of political, economic, and cultural systems, a false liberalism undermines the role that proper authority should play in an association. The public policies that would be mandated by a true conservatism, and which are necessary for the flourishing of society, are thereby sidestepped. At the same time, false liberalism pays lip-service to true liberalism; i.e., the genuine freedoms of the individual are never fully or adequately actualized in practice in spite of all of the rhetoric in favour of 'freedom' on the theoretical plane. The inevitable result is the partial inversion of the due order, i.e., the order which things assume when they work best. The purpose of a false liberalism is to displace, dislocate, deracinate, disenfranchise, dehumanize, depersonalize, disassociate, discombobulate, and/or disorient the population in the hopes of destabilizing society.

A false conservatism, by contrast, is primarily or overtly a force which serves the end of perversion and is only secondarily or covertly subversive. It is the

single chief weapon in finance's struggle in favour of illegitimate authority and against genuine freedom. The functional necessities (whether structural or not) of an association which is dedicated to an oligarchic purpose (i.e., the usurpation of the unearned increment of association in the service of vested interests) are partially incompatible with the functional necessities of an association which is exclusively dedicated to its true purpose: the genuine common good. Ways must therefore be found to introduce new economic, political, cultural, psychological, and spiritual structures which, by subordinating the individual to the group, can effectively corral the population so that they can be harnessed in the service of finance's primary strategic ends, ends that are decidedly anti-social. To the extent that the functional necessities of an oligarchic order are incompatible with those of the sound social order, they represent unjustified impositions on the genuine freedom which individuals in association should enjoy and are forms of governmental or societal trespass. They make individuals work towards their own undoing by forwarding objectives that are not in their best interests. In their nature and purpose, the impositions of oligarchic functional necessities thus constitute a perversion of the communal or public authority.

To take some concrete examples, a false economic liberalism breaks the bonds of solidarity which should exist between individuals working together in economic association (this is the overtly subversive element), but simultaneously allows for the emergence of economic gangsters who are free to impose their own private 'regulations' on the weaker economic actors in order to further their own interests (this is the element of covert perversion which the false liberalism facilitates). A false political conservatism, on the other hand, imposes legal regulations which go beyond authentic structural functional necessities (this is the element of covert perversion), but in so doing it undercuts (through force of contradiction or excess) the conservative culture which had supported the relevant functional necessities (this is its covertly subversive element).

The Relationship of a False Liberalism and a False Conservatism to Each Other

It is noteworthy that while they are contradictory in their respective essences, high finance makes use of a false liberalism and a false conservatism, whether in the fields of politics, economics, or culture, with respect to different, but complementary ends. The common individual is thereby caught in a kind of ideological and social pincer movement between those organizations, parties, and movements that promote a false liberalism, what we might term 'the left-handed path', and those that promote a false conservatism, what we might term 'the right-handed path'.

As far as their combined action is concerned, the immediate result of a false liberalism in conjunction with a false conservatism is the promotion of what is evil and the simultaneous suppression of what is good with respect to the true purposes of the association in question and their corresponding functional necessities. That which is really evil is regarded as a good, while that which is objectively good is treated as if it were evil: *Demon est Deus in versus*. The ultimate result of this inversion of values is the qualitative intensification and quantitative augmentation of the diverse forms of societal dysfunction. Since it is an essentially parasitical entity, it is upon this dysfunction that the monopoly of credit feeds. So long as it is astutely managed, the increase in dysfunction via the left-handed path provides finance with new opportunities, while the increase in dysfunction achieved via the implementation of the right-handed path is the necessary consequence of exploiting these opportunities.

As far as the common individual is concerned, the ultimate effect of failing to enforce the correct set of structural functional necessities, failing to encourage the development of those functional necessities that are not structural in nature, and transgressing the due limits of communal authority via the introduction of regulations that go beyond the correct set of structural functional necessities, is the subordination of the common individual to the group in ways that are illegitimate.

To the extent that this is achieved, the individual is progressively reduced to a sort of lifeless raw material made subject to planning and, as such, he can be ever-more fully exploited in the interests of the financial oligarchy: "... the overriding strategy ... is to deprive the individual of spontaneous initiative and make him into a pliant tool of imposed policy."

The common individual ends up being treated as a means rather than as an end in himself, with all of the metaphysical fall-out which such an inversion of the due order implies:

"... the group is essentially atavistic; it is something from which the individual has emerged, and his return to it is in the nature of spiritual death. Without, in this place, elaborating the connection between the anti-religious aspect of Communism, the soullessness of mass production, and the incompatibility of cartelism and Trades Unionism with peace, it may be emphasised that there is a connection between all of them, and it is epitomised in that amazing reply: 'Render unto Caesar that which is Caesar's, and unto God that which is God's'. Caesar is, of course, functionalism, and if functionalism can be made paramount [transformed from a servant into a master – JB], if the Will can be paralysed by the Arm, if the Good which I Will I do not can be made uniform by the omnipotence of the atavistic Group

over the emergent individual, then indeed the Devil is triumphant."

At our present moment in history, the dialectic between the left-handed and right-handed path seems to be moving us inexorably to its logical climax: the establishment of a dictatorial hierarchy, which is intent on exploiting individuals and society in order to forward its own interests. The various mixed systems or mixed syntheses are merely half-way houses on the road to the final destination. We are confronted with "... the continual drift towards totalitarian socialism ..."

Douglas Social Credit Training

Eric Butler always encouraged training for everyone. Douglas Social Credit is the disciplined science of social engineering measured in terms of human satisfaction. DSC looks for the Truth which governs human associations. DSC is found predominantly in the areas of philosophy, politics, constitutionalism, society, economics and finance. DSC is concerned in any other discipline which considers the satisfaction of human beings an important factor. DSC Training is available in four semester work-packs, steadily developing the individual's understanding of the **Science of the Social Credit** measured in terms of human satisfaction. Our online training initiatives include:

1. **Social Dynamics Videos and Booklets** available on our alor.org/ website front page.
2. **Introducing Social Credit by Betty Luks** is built around five modules, as well as Video and Podcast discussions around the Science of the Social Credit.
3. **Intermediate Social Credit by ED Butler** includes eight written assignments, Video and Podcast discussions around the Science of the Social Credit.
4. **Advanced Social Credit** is conducted with the assistance of experts in this science, included are the historical '*Elements of Social Credit*' by Tudor Jones, (originally produced by the Social Credit Secretariat), two courses including texts, Video and Podcast discussions and other reading material; and on examination to ensure an advanced ability of understanding in the Science of Social Credit measured in terms of human satisfaction.

Contact Head Office to Start your Training Today

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer**:
A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
BSB 105-044
A/c No. 188-040-840

or **cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'**

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

**NewTimes Survey is printed and authorised by
 K. W. Grundy, 13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**