A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

**Vol. 62 No. 39** **9th October 2026**

**IN THIS ISSUE**

How Do We Get What We Want? By Neville Archibald 1

## How Do We Get What We Want? By Neville Archibald

In the lead up to our National week-end, we must ask ourselves some questions. Why are we meeting? And what do we do once we get there? If we cannot attend, how do we participate and what outcome do we expect? These are things that no matter what event you attend, should be considered, especially if we want to have an impact.

It might be a legitimate expectation to be wined, dined and entertained, if that were all we were about. It might be considered a celebration of our year's work, for it is an annual occurrence. Or it might be a coming together of like minds to listen, share and work out important questions, such as those in the first paragraph. Hopefully all who attend will be, first and foremost, concerned about how we can spread the message of Douglas Social Credit to a wider audience.

Our solution has far more merit than anything else being proposed, our vision, while harder to see in real terms given the current environment, is a positive one. It is not about restriction or better use of taxation, in fact, the entire Douglas Social Credit movement could be said to be the only way to release reality that doesn't involve someone or some section of the community losing out (other than the failed banking system).

Our role is to put forth positive truths in ways that are undeniable, and hopefully easily seen. On their own, these truths should find us gaining ground on the opposition. What can be seen in the real world is a mixture of truth and untruth, once recognised each of these is a powerful tool to help understand the concept of a better life going forward. We have the knowledge of how this might be brought into reality, let us all concentrate on how best to achieve this.

Bring your best thoughts and enthusiasm with you and we will see what the increment of association can do with them.

THE AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS

***81 Years of the Australian League of Rights 1946 - 2026***

**NATIONAL WEEKEND October 17th – 18th, 2026**

**Sandford House 207 East Terrace Adelaide, SA 5000 ph:(08) 8223 3213**

The theme of this year's National Seminar will be:
***'Douglas' Social Credit Answer to an Ailing World'.***

**Religious freedom to be restricted!**

Teal MP, Allegra Spender's new bill to remove "discrimination based on sexuality, gender or marital status at religious schools." is due to go before parliament soon. If backed by enough people (read the Albanese government) it will stop Christian schools from deciding just who can be employed by them. In other words they will no longer be allowed to employ only faithful Christians. In addition, schools will no longer be free to teach a biblical understanding of marriage as the union of a man and a woman.

Make no bones about it, this is far more than just schools, it is about the right of Australian families to choose what values and religious beliefs they can have. This bill is the next step in a continual degradation of freedom of religion and destruction of particularly the Christian ethic of our Country.

It should be pointed out to each and every member of parliament, that our Constitution specifically says under section 116:

> *"The Commonwealth shall not make any law for establishing any religion, or for imposing any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, and no religious test shall be required as a qualification for any office or public trust under the Commonwealth."*

So prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, as this bill wants to do, is unconstitutional. It may be argued out in the court system if necessary; but given the cost and the court time it will take, surely the effort would be better spent on fixing the real problems this country has.

Contact your representative and your Senators and include a copy of section 116 for a reminder!

**England Pushing Back**

Public sentiment across Britain towards uncontrolled migration is finding voice. A second former RAF base is being proposed as a settlement point for 1250 illegal asylum seekers. The small town of Barnham is considering following the lead of Piddington (mentioned in *On Target* 36) and seceding, giving the current Government another blow to it's confidence.

> *"A second village may hold a referendum to leave the UK in protest at plans to create an asylum camp nearby.*
>
> *Barnham parish council in Suffolk is to invite villagers to decide on Saturday, Oct 24 whether they should follow the lead of Piddington in Oxfordshire and stage a referendum on seceding from the UK."*
>
> Credit: David Rose for *The Telegraph*
> ***www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2026/10/06/second-village-barnham-parish-suffolk-vote-leave-britain/***

The locals are also resisting this attempt due to the area being listed as an internationally designated wildlife zone. Endangered Stone curlews nest here and are the reason for a development restriction already in place. It is of note that when politically desired, normal planning restrictions do not appear to matter.

The community is fighting this proposal on two grounds, and sadly there seems to be some concern being voiced that the referendum for secession will take away the impact of the development restriction argument. It is in times like these that both arguments against the proposal need to work together! Any conflict between the two is likely manufactured by the same political forces that organise division whenever more than one group opposes a government plan. The use of divide and conquer as a tactic to lessen push back is a classic example of political chicanery. While I cannot say for certain that is the case here, it is something we all must be aware of and do our best to counter when it occurs.

Used for many years to delay publicly desired development, like building a bridge, we are set to arguing amongst ourselves over what building materials to use or where to place it, rather than just making it happen. In this way we are fractured in our resolve to achieve the desired purpose. I would warn all protesters, no matter what group they belong to, to be wary of this tactic and try hard to consolidate forces rather than allow yourselves to be split up.

There is a lesson for us all in that. During the Canberra COVID protests, the divide was created between personalities being followed and artificial (or press related) opinions were used to create factions. A united effort is always far more effective, moving forward we must be sure to keep this in mind.
My hope is that more villages follow the lead of Piddington and now Barnham! Government must be the servant of the people, not the master.

**The war in Iran** has stepped up once again, and the news broadcasters are quick to jump in with footage of bombings and carnage. The companion pieces are often protests in other countries and mass rallies or demonstrations in other countries. The divide and conquer efforts are also being used here too. Pictures of so called student demonstrations and whatever other label they wish to use, often seem to show contrary footage. The presence of flags, signs and other features are sometimes mixed and it makes me wonder if the footage actually relates to the story. I have seen stock footage used many times before, where there was not 'on the scene' footage available. This is not an unusual occurrence for media who want a picture to go with their soundbite. My concern over current footage is the level of this substitution, and if it is really showing what is happening.

In an increasingly connected world, we should be getting closer to the truth than ever before. My gut feeling though, is telling me we are not. Many online reports from citizen journalists would appear to contradict a lot of what the mainstream is pushing. The manipulation of the public that results, means we should be questioning far more than we seem to be. During COVID, we were manipulated to fear or hate anyone who questioned the 'science'. At the moment this manipulation is about antisemitism and anti-Palestine; Pro-Iran and anti Iran; racism and acceptance. Each of these contrasts contain a considerable amount of inaccuracy. Definitions and historical perspectives rarely get an airing, and the underlying reasons for many of these divides are not to be mentioned. When this is tried, the arguments go into straight out accusations and labelling. True debate and background influences are the casualties here.

What we must do for ourselves, is step back from this immediate name calling or labelling and ask the questions that will reveal the motive. What is being said? Can we find the truth in a 20 second soundbite with pictures? The time has come for us all to step up our educations and learn what it means to really be informed, to examine with a critical eye, what is in front of us.

**We should learn from the lessons of history,** this was always a crucial part of education until now. If we cannot learn from history, as the saying goes, we are doomed to repeat it. With this in mind, the starting point for this learning is to go back in time. Many did not believe in a coming 'world order', back in 1982 when announced. We were labelled as conspiracy theorists and worse. If we mentioned banking, we were smeared as anti-semetic and accused of worse. Many times over the decades these accusations have been made, many times we have simply ignored them and just continued pointing out truths as we see them. Eventually the facts start to line up with the reality we are all now beginning to see.
Jeremy Lee said in 1982:

*"It has become fashionable to dismiss "conspiracy theories" as a figment in the imagination of lunatic fringes. In reality, it simply means that those who take this line have not examined the evidence; or else have become as conditioned to reality as they imagine those who acknowledge conspiracy to be."*

**Parliamentarians for World Order**

*'In 1981 a body called **Parliamentarians for World Order** issued an introductory brochure. The front page claimed: "Parliamentarians for World Order is a newly formed network of 550 legislators in eighteen countries, working in national parliaments and at the United Nations to help build a more just and secure international system." It went on:*

*"The purpose of parliamentarians for World Order, as stated in its constitution, is 'to promote the cause of world institutions and enforceable world law for the people's of the world as a single community, through parliamentary action. Believing that a UN Parliamentary body could play an important role in strengthening world institutions, PWO is a organising a UN Parliamentary forum to meet at each General Assembly ... During 1980 PWO linked up six existing parliamentary groups for world law in Japan, Britain, Canada, India, France and Norway, and quickly expanded its membership to other countries in Africa, Asia, Europe and the Pacific.*

*In September 1980, a meeting of legislators from 15 countries was organised at the United Nations General Assembly to introduce the proposal for a UN Parliamentary Forum. In December 1980, the PWO council announced it's support for the recommendations of the Brant Report, entitled "North-South: A Program for Survival" in which Willie Brandt, Edward Heath and a number of world Statesman called for wide-ranging reform of the international system. In March 1981 a PWO office was opened at the United Nations …'*

Enterprise of January, 1982.

Since that time we have seen the rise of the WEF (World Economic Forum) who openly boast that they have young leaders in almost every parliament around the world, and are open about endeavouring to create a new world order, where we'll all own nothing and be happy. The other things we have seen, have been the roll out of almost identical policies and legislation around the world (particularly in western nations) in order to make us all the same. The reduction of the individual to a mere component in a machine, and the restriction of personal liberty is in line with totalitarian control by the state. This has been no accident, and it is still ongoing. History, even as recent as 1982, can still tell us things - if we will only listen. ***

## *81 Years of the Australian League of Rights 1946 - 2026*
## NATIONAL WEEKEND October 17th – 18th, 2026

While we have a good variety of speakers, most will be pre-recorded and viewed by our welcomed visitors, then published for open access. The expansion of DSC is timely. The offerings are rich in potential.

The world is in a state of chaos which can go either way including that of tyranny. Can it, will it, finally listen to the Douglas message? See the caliber of youngish men up for the Douglas challenge.

History is being made. These men are making it. Come and listen to their timely messages. What promises to be an historical gathering of like minds, will become a wealth of information to share around.

With Arnis Luks present as the master of ceremonies, his behind the scenes activities, will tell no small story of the Social Credit movement across history. The founders, the workers, the backbone, each contributing in no small measure to the overall campaign to save Australia and onward the rest of the world.

The League has played a vital part since its formation in 1946 as a result of the '*14 Powers - Vote No*' Referenda campaign. It was decided by three Douglas Social Creditors to form a political wing. ED Butler, Frank McMahon and Frank Bawden founded the ALOR. It went on to be a measure of political resistance to most party politicians, teaching and training Australians how to insist on their God given rights. That God had placed them on this earth for a reason – to do His will, to bring about God's Kingdom on earth as in heaven.

The ALOR message is timeless, being for all generations, as it is needed more and more. These three were of a special kind, rarely seen in any crowd, causing a harvest of individuals to carry the torch of freedom onward. I was one of those torch-bearers, there are many others who have passed-on as they have. There are many others amongst us. Take a good look around to see what a Social Crediter looks like. Just like normal people. Are you one of us?

As these speakers present their 'How to's' on Douglas Social Credit, bear in mind their diverse backgrounds that brought them into the fold. That each person has a different skill set and preaches a complimentary message to make the whole message cohesive. This is the movement of which we are all part.

Some do stand out such as Eric and Jeremy. But there is a following of workers at every level. My mother was National Director of ALOR around 2000, but to give her her dues, she was loyal till the end and would insist on not being mentioned for special treatment.

There are many tasks that make a whole team. The League is no different. Are there things that you can do, then get on with and do exactly that. It promises to be a beautiful, informative weekend of celebration. Come join us.

***Arnis Luks*** - National Director

**On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks**
**13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**